Application No. Applicant(s) 09/731,643 DAR ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Romain Jeanty 3623 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Sanford T. Colb. (2) Romain Jeanty. Date of Interview: 30 August 2004. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1] applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: ___ Claim(s) discussed: 23,24,42,56 and 59. Identification of prior art discussed: HIrshberg (U.S. Patent No. 5,289,369). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative argued in claim 23 that Hirshberg does not teach providing a billing data output in respect of the vehicle service which is dependent only on at least one of the time the vehicle is being operated and where said vehicle is located when it is being operated and in claim 24 sensing only the time the vehicle is being operated.. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required